## BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS MEETING A G E N D A


#### TOWN OF CHINCOTEAGUE

DECEMBER 9, 2010 - 7:30 P.M. - Council Chambers - Town Hall

CAMP IO OIGHT	CALL	TO	ORL	ER
---------------	------	----	-----	----

#### AGENDA ADOPTION:

- 1. Approval of Minutes of July 10, 2010
- 2. Public Participation
- 3. **Appeal 12-10-1** A variance request from Thomas Clark, 7466 Lighthouse Lane, parcel # 30A2-21-1. The applicant request to subdivide said parcel and convert the use of the structure to residential use. Current zoning requires a minimum 25' front yard setback. The existing commercial structure is located 10' from said lot line. This property is conditionally zoned Commercial District C-1.
- 4. Adjourn:



Eastern Shore Post P.O. Box 318 Tasley, VA 23441

Dear Sirs:

The Town of Chincoteague request the following notice of public hearing be published in the Eastern Shore Post on Friday November 26, 2010 and Friday December 2, 2010.

#### **Public Notice**

The Board of Zoning Appeals of the Town of Chincoteague will hold a public hearing on December 9, 2010 at 7:30 p.m. in the Council Chambers located at 6150 Community Drive to receive public comments and views on the following zoning matter:

**Appeal 12-10-1** A variance request from Thomas Clark, 7466 Lighthouse Lane, parcel # 30A2-21-1. The applicant request to subdivide said parcel and convert the use of the structure to residential use. Current zoning requires a minimum 25' front yard setback. The existing commercial structure is located 10' from said lot line. This property is conditionally zoned Commercial District C-1.

Kenny L. Lewis
Zoning Administrator

### MINUTES OF THE MEETING JULY 8, 2010 CHINCOTEAGUE BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS

#### MEMBERS PRESENT:

MEMBERS ABSENT:

Mr. Arthur Leonard

Mr. Jessi Speidel

Mr. Robert Cherrix

Mr. Jack Gilliss

Mr. Edward Moran

Mr. Mike McGee

Mr. Donald Thornton

Kenny L. Lewis, Staff Support

#### 1. Call to Order

Mr. Speidel called the meeting to order at 7:30 pm.

- 2. Approval of Minutes of Meeting Held February 11, 2010 Mr. Moran motioned, seconded by Mr. Gilliss, to approve the minutes as presented. The motion was unanimously approved.
- 3. Appeal 07-10-1 A variance request from Stephanie McCall, 6369 Circle Drive. The applicant request to place a 6' solid fence 7' forward of the rear of the main structure. Section 3.7.16.(1) of the Town's zoning ordinance prohibits said type of fence to extend forward of the rear of the main structure. The property is zoned Residential District R-3.

#### 4. Public Comments

Mrs. McCall advised that she is limited to where the proposed swimming pool can go. She desires not to place the pool in the front yard.

Mrs. McCall advised that the swimming pool is a 52" above grade pool.

Mr. McGee felt the fence would allow for some privacy for Mrs. McCall and her children.

Mr. Moran questioned if a fence will be on the back side of the pool. Mrs. McCall advised that a fence already exist.

Mr. Thornton advised that most nights on TV it shows where a small child has drowned because there was no fence.

Mr. Speidel felt that the fence should come down if the swimming pool is removed. Mrs. McCall did agree that if the swimming pool was removed then the fence would be brought into compliance.

Board action on appeal

Motioned by Mr. Thornton, seconded by Mr. Cherrix to approve the appeal. All in favor. Motion carried.

6. Adjournment

Mr. Speidel adjourned the meeting.

Jessi Speidel, Vice-Chairman

# CHINCOTEAGUE, VIRGINIA APPEAL TO THE BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS

PA 91)

APPEAL CASE NUMBER: FEE:\$_450.00					
, THOMAS CLARK, AGENT FOR SELF WOULD LIKE TO FILE THE FOLLOWING APPEAL WITH THE BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS BASED UPON THE NOTED STATE CODE(S):					
TITLE 15.2, <u>CODE OF VIRGINIA</u> , 1959 (AS AMENDED)					
(CHECK ALL THAT APPLY)					
1. ( ) AN APPEAL OF AN OFFICER, REQUIREMENT, DECISION OR DETERMINATION OF AN ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER.					
2. ( ) AN APPEAL OF A DECISION OF THE ZONING ADMINISTRATOR.					
3. ( ) AN INTERPRETATION OF THE DISTRICT ZONING MAP, IN THAT THERE IS AN UNCERTAINTY AS TO THE LOCATION OF A DISTRICT BOUNDARY.					
4. (XX) AN APPEAL FOR A VARIANCE. THE APPLICANT IS REQUIRED TO SHOW THAT A LAND USE HARDSHIP EXIST. SEE ATTACHED EXAMPLE SHEET FOR HARDSHIP CRITERIA.					
5. ( ) AN APPEAL FOR A VARIANCE OF THE FLOOD PLAIN MANAGEMENT ORDINANCE					
6. ( ) OTHER; RELIEF OF CONDITIONS, ETC.					
BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS USE ONLY:					
MEETING DATE: DECEMBER 9, 2010					
APPEAL ACTION: ( ) APPROVED ( ) DENIED ( ) CONDITIONAL:					
BZA, SECRETARY DATE:					

\*DEADLINE TO FILE IS NOVEMBER 15<sup>TH</sup>, ALL PAPERWORK AND FEES MUST BE PAID BY SAID DATE

#### **PLEASE PRINT**

THE PROPERTY IN WHICH THIS APPEAL IS SUBMITTED IS LOCATED AT (911 ADDRESS)

LIGHTHOUSE LANE, TAX MAP # 30A2-21-1

THIS PROPERTY IS OWNED BY:  NAME:	
PHONE: 757-336-1945 WORK PHONE: 257-980 3422  HAS ANY PREVIOUS APPLICATION OR APPEAL BEEN FILED IN CONNECTION WITH THESE PREMISES?  ( ) NO ( X ) YES, EXPLAIN; TO BET SERSOD OPERATION  IS THIS APPEAL FILED DUE TO A ZONING VIOLATION IDENTIFIED BY THE ZONING ADMINISTRATOR?  ( ) NO ( X ) YES, IF THE VIOLATION HAS NOT BEEN CORRECTED, EXPLAIN WHY:	_
PHONE: 757-336-1945 WORK PHONE: 257-980 3422  HAS ANY PREVIOUS APPLICATION OR APPEAL BEEN FILED IN CONNECTION WITH THESE PREMISES?  ( ) NO ( X ) YES, EXPLAIN; TO BET SERSOD OPERATION  IS THIS APPEAL FILED DUE TO A ZONING VIOLATION IDENTIFIED BY THE ZONING ADMINISTRATOR?  ( ) NO ( X ) YES, IF THE VIOLATION HAS NOT BEEN CORRECTED, EXPLAIN WHY:	MARKE. TOMMY CLARK
PHONE: 757-336-1945 WORK PHONE: 257-980 3422  HAS ANY PREVIOUS APPLICATION OR APPEAL BEEN FILED IN CONNECTION WITH THESE PREMISES?  ( ) NO ( X ) YES, EXPLAIN; TO BET SERSOD OPERATION  IS THIS APPEAL FILED DUE TO A ZONING VIOLATION IDENTIFIED BY THE ZONING ADMINISTRATOR?  ( ) NO ( X ) YES, IF THE VIOLATION HAS NOT BEEN CORRECTED, EXPLAIN WHY:	ADDRESS. 7466 LIGITHOUSE LANE
PHONE: 757-336-1945 WORK PHONE: 257-980 3422  HAS ANY PREVIOUS APPLICATION OR APPEAL BEEN FILED IN CONNECTION WITH THESE PREMISES?  ( ) NO ( X ) YES, EXPLAIN; TO BET SERSOD OPERATION  IS THIS APPEAL FILED DUE TO A ZONING VIOLATION IDENTIFIED BY THE ZONING ADMINISTRATOR?  ( ) NO ( X ) YES, IF THE VIOLATION HAS NOT BEEN CORRECTED, EXPLAIN WHY:	CITY STATE ZID: C. LINCO TE AS UF UA 23336
HAS ANY PREVIOUS APPLICATION OR APPEAL BEEN FILED IN CONNECTION WITH THESE PREMISES?  ( ) NO ( X ) YES, EXPLAIN; TO BET SERSOD OPERATION  IS THIS APPEAL FILED DUE TO A ZONING VIOLATION IDENTIFIED BY THE ZONING ADMINISTRATOR?  ( ) NO ( X ) YES, IF THE VIOLATION HAS NOT BEEN CORRECTED, EXPLAIN WHY:	C   1, 9   R  L, 2   1
(1) NO  (X) YES, EXPLAIN; To BET SERSOD OPERATION  IS THIS APPEAL FILED DUE TO A ZONING VIOLATION IDENTIFIED BY THE ZONING ADMINISTRATOR?  (1) NO  (X) YES, IF THE VIOLATION HAS NOT BEEN CORRECTED, EXPLAIN WHY;  The LASE AT PRESENT IS STILL COMMERCICAL  SO THE SETERCE IS OK  ARTICLE(S) AND SECTION(S) THAT APPLIES TO YOUR APPEAL:  (1.) ARTICLE: 3 SECTION: 3.9.2  REQUIRES: MINIMUM 25' FRONT YARD SETBACK FOR RESIDENTIAL USE  (2.) EXPLAIN REASON FOR APPEAL:  EXISTING COMMERCIAL BUILDING IS LOCATED 10' FROM	PHONE: WORKT TO
IS THIS APPEAL FILED DUE TO A ZONING VIOLATION IDENTIFIED BY THE ZONING ADMINISTRATOR?  ( ) NO ( ) YES, IF THE VIOLATION HAS NOT BEEN CORRECTED, EXPLAIN WHY;	
ADMINISTRATOR?  ( ) NO  ( ) YES, IF THE VIOLATION HAS NOT BEEN CORRECTED, EXPLAIN WHY:  The INSE BY PRESENT IS STILL COMMERICAL  SO THE SETBACK IS OK  ARTICLE(S) AND SECTION(S) THAT APPLIES TO YOUR APPEAL:  (1.) ARTICLE: 3 SECTION: 3.9.2  REQUIRES: MINIMUM 25' FRONT YARD SETBACK FOR RESIDENTIAL USE  (2.) EXPLAIN REASON FOR APPEAL:  FXISTING COMMERCIAL BUILDING IS LOCATED 10' FROM	( ) NO (X) YES, EXPLAIN; TO BET SERSOOD OPERATION
ARTICLE(S) AND SECTION(S) THAT APPLIES TO YOUR APPEAL:  (1.) ARTICLE: 3 SECTION: 3.9.2  REQUIRES: MINIMUM 25' FRONT YARD SETBACK FOR RESIDENTIAL USE  (2.) EXPLAIN REASON FOR APPEAL:  EXISTING COMMERCIAL BUILDING IS LOCATED 10' FROM	
ARTICLE(S) AND SECTION(S) THAT APPLIES TO YOUR APPEAL:  (1.) ARTICLE: 3 SECTION: 3.9.2  REQUIRES: MINIMUM 25' FRONT YARD SETBACK FOR RESIDENTIAL USE  (2.) EXPLAIN REASON FOR APPEAL:  EXISTING COMMERCIAL BUILDING IS LOCATED 10' FROM	( ) NO ( ) YES, IF THE VIOLATION HAS NOT BEEN CORRECTED, EXPLAIN WHY;  The (1SE BI PRESENT IS STILL COMMERICAL
ARTICLE(S) AND SECTION(S) THAT APPLIES TO YOUR APPEAL:  (1.) ARTICLE: 3 SECTION: 3.9.2  REQUIRES: MINIMUM 25' FRONT YARD SETBACK FOR RESIDENTIAL USE  (2.) EXPLAIN REASON FOR APPEAL:  EXISTING COMMERCIAL BUILDING IS LOCATED 10' FROM	SO THE ESTRACK IS OK
REQUIRES: MINIMUM 25' FRONT YARD SETBACK FOR RESIDENTIAL USE  (2.) EXPLAIN REASON FOR APPEAL:  EXISTING COMMERCIAL BUILDING IS LOCATED 10' FROM	•
RESIDENTIAL USE  (2.) EXPLAIN REASON FOR APPEAL:  EXISTING COMMERCIAL BUILDING IS LOCATED 10' FROM	(1.) ARȚICLE: <u>3</u> SECTION: <u>3.9.2</u>
FXISTING COMMERCIAL BUILDING IS LOCATED 10' FROM	
EXISTING COMMERCIAL BUILDING IS LOCATED 10' FROM	(-)
	EXISTING COMMERCIAL BUILDING IS LOCATED 10' FROM
FRONT LOT LINE. WISH TO SUBDIVIDE COTTAINS THE	FRONT LOT LINE. WISH TO SUBDIVIDE LOT AND RESALE

PARCELS FOR RESIDENTIAL USE.

## GUIDELINES USED BY THE BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS IN GRANTING A VARIANCE

In order for the Board of Zoning Appeals to review your request for a variance, the threshold question for the BZA in considering an application for a variance" is whether the effect of the zoning ordinance upon the property under consideration, as it stands, interferes with all reasonable beneficial uses of the property, taken as a whole". If the answer is in the negative, the BZA has no authority to go further.

(x)	yes
-----	-----


( ) no

Please answer the following questions

- (1). Does the strict application of the ordinance result in unnecessary or unreasonable hardship to the property owner?
- (x) Yes, explain. Will not allow the use of a lot to be used as a residential lot without tearing down a good structure. The building was built according to commercial setbacks. The lay of the lot dictated the position for loading trucks. Still it met setback lines until the flagging.
- ( ) no
- (2). Is the need for the variance shared generally by other properties?( ) yes, explain;
  - (x ) no
- (3). Is the variance contrary to the intended sprit and purpose of the ordinance, and would result in substantial justice being done.
- (x) Yes, explain. In the area in question the property line in question is a narrow jib to small to build a primary structure on. As shown on the enclosed plat Toms cove clam house is located away from all other structures and meting or exceeding all other setbacks. The building is positioned in such a way as to give the most side and rear yard open room.

() no.

All of the above questions must be answered. Failure to complete the above questions shall result in your application not being processed.



CERTIFIED MAIL RECEIPT  CERTIFIED MAIL RECEIPT  No leasurance Coverage Provided)	
(Domestic Mail Only, No Insurance  For delivery information visit our website at www.usps.com;	
Postage S  Certified Fee Postmark	
Return Receipt ree (Endorsement Required)  Restricted Delivery Fee (Endorsement Required)	-IDT
Sent To Mitchell + Belinda Hauring	ECEIPT e Coverage Provided) site at www.usps.come
Domestic Mail Only; No Insurance Companies to Insurance Companies Church VC, 3345  Church VC, 3345  See Reverse for Instructions Postage 15	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Postage S Gerüffed Pee	Posimin Here.
Return Receipt Fee (Endorsement Required) Restricted Delivery Fee (Endorsement Required)	Sauce Marie
Total Postage & Fees \$ Sirset, Apr. No.; 90 Sah	dy Holled
Siried, Apt. No.; 4105 16th Strate or PO Box No. 4105 16th Strate Of PO Box No. 4105 16th Strate Of Po Box No. 6th Strate Of Po Box No. 5th State Of Po Box No. 5th State Of Po Box No. 6th Strate O	SIGNATURE:
ps Form 3800, August	(*) APPROVE REQUEST
The state of the s	COMMENTS: 3334 RIDGE KO
ETH HAWKINS  ETH HAWKINS  ETH HAWKINS	TAX MAP # 50AZ F. ELIZABI
LOCATION OF PROPERTY NORTH	31S-A-SA0E # 9AM XAT
COUTU	
TAX MAP # 30A2-A-221 LOCATION OF PROPERTY SOUTH NAME: KENNETH L. BURTON	
ADDRESS: 8299 BEEBE RD CHINCOTEAGUE VA PHONE:	336-6498
COMMENTS:	ATE: 11-5-10